

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/010,490	01/21/1998	KANJI HATA	177/527415	8750
75	90 03/22/2002			
WENDEROTH LIND AND PONACK 2033 K STREET N W SUITE 800			EXAMINER	
			SMITH, SEAN PRENTISS	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3729 DATE MAILED: 03/22/2002	29

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

EST AVAILABLE COPY

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/010,490	HATA ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	TySean P Smith	3729			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 11 March 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>8-11, 13-15,18-28</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	proved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10. Other:					
PETER VO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01) Adv	TECHNOLO risory Action	GY CENTER 3700 Part of Paper No. 29			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant arguments are directed to the usage of the apparatus that would reduce vibration and not the claimed invention of the apparatus. The applicant invention is directed to the component mounting machine, the applicant arguments suggest more than the claimed invention.

ASS MINISTER ASSOCIATION OF THE STATE OF THE